

Date	Dec 1 1992
Author	DA Henderson
Title	Immunization and surveillance programs. Remarks to the World Bank Review
Event	Joint International Consultation on Cost- Effective Interventions for Health
Event Sponsor	JHU School of Hygiene & Public Health
Event location	Baltimore MD
Notes	
Publ as	

IMMUNIZATION ③
World Bank Remains
Baltimore
Dec. 1, 1992

Immunization programs

Global prog. in immun. has been and remains today centerpiece of child survival program.

Not surprising - as paper points out - immun. represents the single, most cost-effective and most readily applied health intervention which we have today.

Hx - slide

Antigens - slide

advances in

With this medical research & biotechnology, excellent potential for

- many more effective antigens
- more antigenic, i.e. only 2 or perhaps 1 dose to protect for life
- more heat stable

◦ able to be given as combined vaccines and by mouth.

Potentially
At the beginning of a revolutionary era in disease prevention.

1990 CWI launched to intent of advancing the dream

After 2 years - a realization that there is trouble in Paradise - realities ^{introduce} which apply to every real or potential intervention we ~~are~~ ^{are} discussing at this Conference.

To simply sustain what has already been achieved will require imaginative and heroic efforts.

The problems being encountered are embedded in the history of development of EPI.

Simplified scheme of vaccine dev. + application

SMPD POX PROGRAM

EPI beginning - Application only
no research or development in vaccines ~~no research or development in vaccines~~
~~Production and quality control - UNICEF~~ ~~in India~~
1985 Prog. Vac. Develop. - another unit.
Supports good basic research + limited early develop. research.
Not concerned c program needs -
None of the vaccines - fully satisfactory antigenic / heat stable
but e.g. OPV.
Research + some support by such as NIH and other national vs. efforts.
little support for development.
No effort to develop national capacity for vaccine production
or self-sufficiency (except Americas)
No effort to develop quality control - other than UNICEF provided
QVI com. study now reveals 70% of DPT produced in
third world. (? WHO authority to invoke standards)
Some estimate that as much as 40% of locally produced
DPT meets standards - my estimate (spc exper.)
little recognition that vaccine may not be satisfactory - because
No effort to develop surveillance until very recently.
Provided by Americas - polio surveillance
Finding - type III problem.
Americas - now c 20 000 reporting units / weekly

Prod + qual control
undemand
biologics unit
+ UNICEF purchase

EPI
Program - A vaccine application program not a disease control effort.
During the spx era - we referred to such programs as SE-Ebola-1966
enormous effort vaccinating a number equal to one-fifth of the pop. annually
Cholera - epidemic in New Delhi where 120% of the pop. had been vaccinated

Problems - now in early stages of discussion -

(3)

Consensus - Need for integration of management to bring under one control authority
Prog. operations (incl. strong over. component),
research (incl. vaccine development), vaccine production
strategy, and vaccine quality control.

? whether this might apply to other programs.

Emerging
Consensus - Vaccines ^{and vaccination} may need to be dealt with in a special manner.

Vaccines - not generally attractive to commercial sector -
not especially profitable even for vaccines ^{there} ~~to~~ ^{to be sold in} dev. countries
How to expand capacity, how to bring new vaccines on line
Priv. sector - not interested in contract res. or production.

However valuable as a ^{health} ~~central~~ ^{care} information

Public sector is now quite limited -

III. of problems - ^{available} ~~A~~ - Immunoglobulin

Vaccine containing Hep B and Hem. inf. & DPT -

70% of DPT in
dev. countries.

New vaccines - who is to produce?

If assistance ~~needed~~ for vaccine prod. to be provided - what standards?

U.S. - code for GMP or other

note: USSR initiative.

Priorities in development - vaccinia virus variants.

SURVIVANCE
World Bank Review
Baltimore
Dec. 1, 1992 (S. 100)
(, projected & Fair)

(He, James, Grosche & Foss)

simple, straight forward concept which A&L pioneered at CDC.
Intended to provide at least a crude indication of trends and
epidemiological patterns in
incidence of the disease problem for which control measures are
being applied.

Why? Such ~~data~~ are seldom used.
~~There is a subscription system, but if data such~~
~~My own market data could tell you little or nothing if~~

as are provided by surveillance were used for programming,
or for allocation of resources. — structure could change rapidly

Second reason - this claim by many epidemiologists for data which does not on a computer and the proliferation of computerized (e.g. shoe leather epidemiologists) - M #14 in fact.

② Reporting sites - how many - as many as can be persuaded.
hospitals - yes (special measures); clinics

how frequently - for inf. dis. & action implied - weekly
 impossible - smallpox - 1 to 2 yrs. in a country.

polio in Americas - 20,000 units $\times 90\%$ on this world

amount of data - minimum necessary - ^{amount} no more than that on one line.
 academics - one page form → 2, 3 pages.
 spc - no fatality data - not necessary

VP Ford Motor Co. - daily report on operations - one page of data
Key: Symptoms indicators of total functioning to be followed up per m.

~~Competition and~~

Are all cases reported - no!; what proportion of total - interesting but not critical

BAD

- Compilation and interpretation -

Usually confined to Archives

Geographical concentrations; indications of effects; ? of various pillars

on page 2

- o Reporting back - impossible task - not enough personnel.
Brazilian. s.p.s surveillance report - 2000 copies sent weekly throughout the country. Time = 1/2 time clock; 1 day of professional.
Results - Brazil -
Point - involve a larger community
- o Action -

A corollary ~~another~~ concept - every case of 'x' which occurs is in some way a failure in the program. Provides a focus of attention of ~~all~~ all concerned.

Evaluation - necessary but inevitably subsidiary to a surveillance mechanism

Principle - fewest possible indicators to monitor progress

Keep it simple stupid. The ~~best~~ better thought out and understood the program, the fewer the indicators. ^(Ford example) ~~Base of existence of many programs,~~
the funding agencies

Indicators must be seen to be used by those providing the data - not an archive

^{esp} Interval between 1st case in outbreak & detection - surveillance quality
Interval between detection & last case - containment quality.

[Assessment of coverage - ~5% sample - Afghanistan.

Problem: addition of many other measures - duration of rise & begin containment, % of population vaccinated, no. of practitioners employed, no. of vaccinations given per day, etc. etc. - fairly coarse of system.

Conclusion: Surveillance & assessment different for any disease & any intervention. But absolutely critical. Principles Keep it simple, use it and make sure all concerned in the program know that the reports they provide are used.

LANDMARKS - GLOBAL IMMUNIZATION

- 1970 International conference - plan proposed
- 1974 EPI (Expanded program on immunization) - WHA
- 1983 1st Bellagio Summit Conference
- 1985 Polio eradication campaign - Americas
1988 " " " - World
- 1990 Immunization coverage = 75% +
2 600 000 deaths prevented
A delivery system in place